



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	•			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/001,411	10/31/2001	Jason T. Su	10005650-1 3043	
75	90 06/29/2005		EXAM	INER
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			DIVINE, LUCAS	
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/001,411	SU, JASON T.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Lucas Divine	2624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 October 2001</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.	•			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 October 2001</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/14/03,10/31/01.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 186a. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 16 and 17 objected to because of the following informalities: they recite 'the program embodied in a computer readable medium of claim 15'. Examiner believes this should read 'the system for automated access of claim 15' or just 'the system of claim 15'.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2624

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1 7 and 9 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Silva et al. (US 2002/0054090).

Regarding claim 1, Silva teaches an automated data access method, comprising:

identifying a content item to be accessed for a publication (paragraph 0027 discusses the actual step where a user identifies the content they wish to access for a published content on a webpage; step 504 discussed in paragraph 0056; other areas discussing content are 0003, 0009-0010, 0021-0023, 0033, 0043 [content as a column], 0047, Fig. 9);

identifying an event sequence associated with the content item that is employed to access the content item (event sequence called a 'Web view' at some points and 'smart bookmark' at others, taught as being accessed 'identified' in paragraphs 0027, 0029, 0036, 0022, 0026, step 601 Fig. 6 discussed in paragraph 0057, Fig. 10); and

reproducing the events of the event sequence to obtain access to the content item (automatically retrieving and formatting desired content, paragraphs 0010, 0027, 0038, 0022, step 602 Fig. 6 discussed n paragraph 0057, Fig. 10).

Note: Silva incorporates the reference "Automating Web Navigation with the WebVCR" in paragraph 0024 for further examples of the recording process of Silva. Examiner has included said reference with this Action for applicant to review.

Art Unit: 2624

Regarding claim 2, which depends from claim 1, Silva teaches detecting a sequence mismatch while reproducing the events of the event sequence that precludes access to the content item (detect and report page structure errors when the player is not able to locate an object in the recorded action, paragraphs 0043, 0041, and 0031).

Regarding claim 3, which depends from claim 2, Silva teaches detecting the sequence mismatch while reproducing the events of the event sequence that precludes access to the content item further comprises detecting an absence of an element in a network page (paragraphs 0041, 0043, and 0031).

Regarding claim 4, which depends from claim 2, Silva teaches detecting the sequence mismatch while reproducing the events of the event sequence that precludes access to the content item further comprises detecting a failure to access a predefined page (paragraphs 0041, 0043, and 0031).

Regarding claim 5, which depends from claim 2, Silva teaches informing a user that the content item could not be accessed using the event sequence (notifying the user, paragraph 0043).

Regarding claim 6, which depends from claim 1, Silva teaches detecting an absence of an element from a predefined location on a network page; and identifying the element in a new location on the network page (paragraph 0041).

Regarding claim 7, which depends from claim 1, Silva teaches accessing the content item; and formatting a publication that includes the content item (paragraphs 0006, 0010, 0037, 0053, and 0057 teach formatting the publication content for the client).

Art Unit: 2624

Regarding claim 9, claim 9 employs code to complete all the same method steps as are claimed in method claim 1. Silva in Fig. 1 teaches the steps of claim 1 all being conducted in a computing system, and steps shown in Figs. 5 and 6 are implemented in the computing system. For example, web view server executes identifying the content item based on a remote user input. Thus, the instruction steps of code claim 9 are rejected for the same reasons as stated in the rejection of claim 1.

Regarding claim 10, which depends from claim 9, the code steps of claim 10 are the same as those implemented in method claim 2. Thus, claim 9 is rejected for the same reasons as stated in the rejection of claim 2.

Regarding claim 10, which depends from claim 9, the code steps of claim 10 are the same as those implemented in method claim 2. Thus, claim 10 is rejected for the same reasons as stated in the rejection of claim 2.

Regarding claim 11, which depends from claim 10, the code steps of claim 11 are the same as those implemented in method claim 3. Thus, claim 11 is rejected for the same reasons as stated in the rejection of claim 3.

Regarding claim 12, which depends from claim 10, the code steps of claim 12 are the same as those implemented in method claim 4. Thus, claim 12 is rejected for the same reasons as stated in the rejection of claim 4.

Regarding claim 13, which depends from claim 10, the code steps of claim 13 are the same as those implemented in method claim 5. Thus, claim 13 is rejected for the same reasons as stated in the rejection of claim 5.

Regarding claim 14, which depends from claim 9, the code steps of claim 14 are the same as those implemented in method claim 6. Thus, claim 14 is rejected for the same reasons as stated in the rejection of claim 6.

Regarding claim 15, Silva teaches a system for automated data access, comprising:

means for identifying a content item to be accessed (paragraph 0027 discusses where a
user identifies the content they wish to access for a published content on a webpage; step 504
discussed in paragraph 0056; other areas discussing content are 0003, 0009-0010, 0021-0023,
0033, 0043 [content as a column], 0047, Fig. 9);

means for identifying an event sequence associated with the content item that is employed to access the content item (event sequence called a 'Web view' at some points and 'smart bookmark' at others, taught as being accessed 'identified' in paragraphs 0027, 0029, 0036, 0022, 0026, step 601 Fig. 6 discussed in paragraph 0057, Fig. 10); and

means for reproducing a number of events in the event sequence to obtain access to the content item (automatically retrieving and formatting desired content, paragraphs 0010, 0027, 0038, 0022, step 602 Fig. 6 discussed n paragraph 0057, Fig. 10).

Regarding claim 16, which depends from claim 15, Silva teaches means for detecting a sequence mismatch while reproducing the events of the event sequence that precludes access to the content item (detect and report page structure errors when the player is not able to locate an object in the recorded action, paragraphs 0043, 0041, and 0031).

Art Unit: 2624

Regarding claim 17, which depends from claim 15, Silva teaches means for detecting an absence of an element from a predefined location on a network page; and means for identifying the element in a new location on the network page (paragraph 0041).

Regarding claim 18, a method for establishing automated data access to a network page, comprising:

identifying a starting network page for an event sequence recording session (step 502 of Fig. 5, paragraphs 0027, 0056);

opening the event sequence recording session (paragraph 0027, the recording session is opened by clicking the Record button); and

recording a number of events that occur during an access of the network page (step 503 of Fig. 5, paragraphs 0027, 0056, 0029 0024, 0025).

Regarding claim 19, which depends from claim 18, Silva teaches storing the number of events as an event sequence (paragraph 0027, specifically 'Web view, which can be saved' and uploaded to the Web view server)..

Regarding claim 20, which depends from claim 18, Silva teaches recording a selection of the network page to be automatically accessed (step 503, wherein he browses to the final page containing desired information; paragraphs 0027, 0029, 0056).

Regarding claim 21, which depends from claim 18, Silva teaches recording a selection of a network page that is to be verified when accessed (paragraph 0030, wherein pages with forms and password information can be selected and the forms and passwords regarding access to a page can be verified during the processes of recording and replaying).

Art Unit: 2624

Regarding claim 22, which depends from claim 18, Silva teaches closing the event sequence recording session upon a selection of a last network page to be automatically accessed (paragraph 0027, specifically 'When the desired page is reached, he hits the Stop button').

Regarding claim 23, Silva teaches a program (applet 100 is the recorder that has code for all of the steps below, paragraph 0027) embodied on a computer readable medium for establishing automated data access to a network page, comprising:

code that generates a set of event recording interface components on a display device (for example record, play, and stop buttons discussed in paragraph 0027, standard buttons in WebVCR as discussed in paragraphs 0024 and 0025);

code that opens an event sequence recording session at a starting network page in response to a start input (paragraph 0027, specifically 'He then goes to the main Travelocity Web site, hits the Record button on the applet, and browses to the itinerary page. As soon as the Record button is clicked, the applet transparently records all his actions.');

code that records a number of network page access events that occur during an access of the network page (paragraph 0027, specifically 'As soon as the Record button is clicked, the applet transparently records all his actions.', step 503, paragraphs 0028, 0029 and 0056 as well); and

code that closes the event sequence recording session in response to a close input (paragraph 0027, specifically 'When the desired page is reached, he hits the Stop button').

Regarding claim 24, which depends from claim 23, Silva teaches storing the number of events as an event sequence (paragraph 0027, specifically 'Web view, which can be saved' and uploaded to the Web view server).

Regarding claim 25, which depends from claim 23, Silva teaches code that records a selection of the network page to be automatically accessed (step 503, wherein he browses to the final page containing desired information; paragraphs 0027, 0029, 0056).

Regarding claim 26, which depends from claim 23, Silva teaches code that records a selection of an intermediate network page that is to be verified when accessed (paragraph 0030, wherein pages with forms and password information can be selected and the forms and passwords regarding access to a page can be verified during the processes of recording and replaying).

Regarding claim 27, which depends from claim 23, Silva teaches code that records a number of elements in the intermediate network page to be verified in an event sequence (paragraph 0030, wherein forms and passwords need to be verified and can be saved in advance for the event sequence).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2624

4. Claim 8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Silva as applied to claims 1 and 7 above, and further in view of Lopresti et al. (US 5754308).

Regarding claim 8, which depends from claim 7, while Silva expressly teaches transmitting formatted online content to a client for displaying, Silva does not specifically teach that the content is a document to be printed.

It is well known in the art and Lopresti teaches that online content can include documents for retrieving and printing (Fig. 2, Fig. 4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that online content can include online documents to be printed out as taught by Lopresti. The motivation for doing so would have been to allow the user to print out information that was posted online. For example, another user across the country posts a document, and a remote user locally wants to have a hard copy of it, retrieving and printing of such a document would allow the user to do so.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - "Automating Web Navigation with the WebVCR", V. Anupam, J. Freire, B. Kumar, D. Lieuwen, 3-1-2000: teaches recording browsing steps for replaying.
 - US-2002/0152159, Khan et al., 10-17-2002: teaches system, method and computer program product for the recording and playback of transaction macros.
 - US-5809250, Kisor, 9-15-1998: teaches methods for creating and sharing repayable modules representative of web browsing session.

Art Unit: 2624

Page 11

and editing system for generating user defined paths and allowing users to mark the

US-6195679, Bauersfeld et al., 2-27-2001; teaches browsing session recording playback

priority of items in the paths.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Lucas Divine whose telephone number is 571-272-7432. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Moore can be reached on 571-272-7437. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit 2624

lid .